E&C FORMAL SUBMISSIONS RESULTS 2 APRIL 2012					
SUBMISSION NUMBER	FILE NUMBERS	DIVISION	TITLE	RESULT	RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
02/04-01	137/800/1121/473 OLMCEC	OLMOFO	Stores Board Submission – Acoustic Emission Testing of Type IV Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Cylinders	Approved	Immediate Release
M		OLIVICEO			
02/04-02 R	109/695/586/2	OLMCEO	Contracts and Tendering – Report to Council of Contracts accepted by Delegates (February 2012 and including the period up until the Caretaker period commencement of 10 March 2012)	Approved	N/A
02/04-03 M	137/800/1121/474 112/210/297/4	OLMCEO	Stores Board Submission - Increase in Contract Expenditure for the Provision of Heritage Architectural and Architectural Services for the City Hall Restoration Project	Approved	Not for Release

Present: Lord Mayor Graham Quirk, A Schrinner, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, R Matic, M de Wit & J Simmonds.

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document.

R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

1.0 Primary file number

137/800/1121/473

Related subject matter files

Nil.

2.0 Title

Stores Board Submission - Acoustic Emission Testing of Type IV Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Cylinders

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek approval from the Establishment & Coordination Committee to directly enter into a Contract with Advance Technology Testing and Research (ATTAR) Engineering Materials Evaluation Pty Ltd ABN 14 006 554 785 for the provision of Acoustic Emission (AE) Testing of 3,881 Compressed Natural Gas (CNG) Type IV Carbon Fibre Pressure Vessels Mounted on 569 CNG Buses, in addition to other quarantined Type IV cylinders without seeking competitive tenders from industry in accordance with section 2.4 (Sole or Select Sourcing) of the Contract Manual pursuant to the *City of Brisbane Act 2010*.

4.0 Proponent

Colin Jensen, Chief Executive Officer

5.0 Submission prepared by

Mark Johnston, Acting Corporate Risk Manager, Organisational Services Division.

6.0 Date

2 April 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

No.

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate Release

10.0 Recommendation

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approves the attached submission.

11.0 Mark Johnston

ACTING CORPORATE RISK MANAGER

ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES

Colin Jensen

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

Lord Mayo

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 27 March 2012.

The submission is recommended to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT NO. CONTRACT TITLE

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

BT120152-11/12

Acoustic Emission Testing of Type IV Compressed Natural Gas Cylinders For E&C approval

13.0 Consultation

- · The Chief Executive Officer
- Permanent Head of the Unit of Administration responsible for the submission
- · Stores Board
- Relevant Divisional Officers

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

Not Applicable.

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

17.0 Customer impact

The submission covers the supply services to meet Council requirements.

18.0 Environmental impact

Environmental considerations will be taken into account in the provision of the services where applicable.

19.0 Policy impact

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

20.0 Financial impact

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

21.0 Human resource impact

Not Applicable

22.0 Urgency

As soon as possible

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

As Required

24.0 **Options**

Option 1:

That the E&C approves the recommendation. Not approve the recommendation.

Option 2:

Option 1is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure recommendation should be recorded here.

1.0 Primary file number

137/800/1121/474

Related subject matter files

112/210/297/4

2.0 Title

Stores Board Submission – Increase in Contract Expenditure for the Provision of Heritage Architectural and Architectural Services for the City Hall Restoration Project.

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek approval of the Establishment & Coordination Committee to increase the Contract Expenditure Authority and the approved contract term for the contract with GHD Australia Pty Ltd ("GHD") for the provision of Heritage Architectural and Architectural Services for the City Hall Restoration Project.

4.0 Proponent

Colin Jensen, Chief Executive Officer

5.0 Submission prepared by

Mark Johnston, Acting Corporate Risk Manager, Organisational Services Division V E

6.0 Date

2 April 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval.

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

No.

9.0 Recommended for public release

Not for release

10.0 Recommendation

That the Establishment and Co-ordination Committee approves the attached submission.

11.0 Mark Johnston

ACTING CORPORATE RISK MANAGER

ORGANISATIONAL SERVICES

Colin Jensen

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

ord Mayor

The Chief Executive Officer and the Stores Board considered the attached scheduled submission on 27 March 2012.

The submission is recomended to E&C as it is considered the most advantageous outcome for the provision of the required services:

CONTRACT NO. CONTRACT TITLE

REASON FOR SUBMISSION

R90476f-08/09 & R90476g-08/09

Provision of

Heritage Architectural and

Architectural

Services for the City Hall Restoration Project

For E&C approval

13.0 Consultation

- · The Chief Executive Officer
- · The Divisional Manager, City Planning and Sustainability
- Stores Board
- Relevant Divisional Officers

All are in agreement with the recommendation.

14.0 Implications of proposal

The recommended process will provide the most advantageous outcome for Council.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

Not Applicable.

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

The recommendation of this submission will contribute to the efficient management of the City's resources.

17.0 Customer impact

The submission covers the supply of services to meet Council approved programs.

18.0 Environmental impact

Environmental considerations will be taken into account in the evaluation of tenders.

19.0 Policy impact

Submitted in accordance with Council's Procedures for Procurement, Contracting and Tendering.

20.0 Financial impact

Financial details are included in the Divisional submission.

21.0 Human resource impact

Not Applicable

22.0 Urgency

As soon as possible

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

As Required

24.0 Options

Option 1:

That the E&C approves the recommendation.

Option 2:

Not approve the recommendation.

Option 1is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

E&C FORMAL SUBMISSIONS RESULTS 16 APRIL 2012					
SUBMISSION NUMBER	FILE NUMBERS	DIVISION	TITLE	ESULT	RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
16/04-01 M	137/800/1121/456	OLMCEO .	Proposed motions for 2012 National General Assembly of Local Government	pproved	Immediate Release

Present: Lord Mayor Graham Quirk, A Schrinner, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan M de Wit & J Simmonds.

Apologies: P Matic

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document.

R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

1.0 File number

137/800/1121/456

2.0 Title

Proposed motions for 2012 National General Assembly of Local Government

3.0 Issue/purpose

To gain E&C approval to submit four motions to the 2012 ALGA National General Assembly of Local Government

4.0 Proponent

Colin Jensen, Chief Executive Officer

5.0 Submission prepared by

Jo Gibney, Project Officer, Office of the Chief Executive, ext 34309

6.0 Date

11 April 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

For E&C approval

8.0 If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law?

N/A

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate release

10.0 Recommendation

That approval is granted to submit the four motions in Attachments B - E to the 2012 National General Assembly of Local Government.

11.0

Colin Jensen

Chief Executive Officer

APPROVED

Lord Mayor

The National General Assembly of Local Government is Council's opportunity to contribute to the development of national local government policy.

The Australian Local Government Association (ALGA) is calling for agenda items to be submitted for the forthcoming National General Assembly to be held in Canberra from 17 to 20 June 2012. As in previous years, these agenda items take the form of motions and are to be voted upon at the Assembly.

In response to feedback received at previous Assemblies, ALGA has revised the process for motions to ensure that policy debates relate to the current national priorities for local government. The theme for this year's Assembly is 'National Voice, Local Choice – Infrastructure, Planning, Services'.

All Council Divisions have been requested to submit appropriate agenda items with endorsement of the relevant Chairman. To date, the following proposed agenda items (motions) have been received and are attached (Attachments B-E):

- That ALGA lobbies the Federal Government to investigate and implement new mechanisms to provide infrastructure funding to local government.
- That ALGA lobby the Federal Government to develop and implement an equitable distribution scheme for any revenues collected from heavy vehicles back to the road network owners and managers in consultation and partnership with local government.
- That ALGA lobby the Federal Government to work with relevant States and Territories and local governments to develop a nationally consistent framework for flood risk management.
- That ALGA lobby the Federal Government to require effective collaboration and a partnership based approach to land use based planning matters based around the following principles:
 - All relevant entities are included (local, State all departments, Government Owned Corporations, Federal)
 - Equal partnership
 - Provide a basis for agreement on investment in infrastructure particularly Commonwealth funding.

Approved motions are to be submitted to ALGA via an electronic form located on the ALGA website and will be uploaded by OLMCEO following E&C approval.

E&C's approval is sought to submit the four motions in Attachments B - E to the 2012 National General Assembly of Local Government.

13.0 Consultation

All Divisional Managers (requests for motions)
All Policy Liaison Officers (requests for motions)
Relevant Chairmen (re motion content)

14.0 Implications of proposal

It is anticipated that the motions would generally be supported at the Assembly.

15.0 Commercial in confidence

Nil

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

Consistent with Corporate Plan – specifically:

- · Partner with other levels of government.
- Support Council's involvement in regional, capital city and local government associations, networks and alliances.

17.0 Customer impact

Nil

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil

19.0 Policy impact

Consistent with the established policy that the Brisbane City Council provides a voice on national local government issues through its representation at the ALGA National General Assembly.

20.0 Financial impact

Nil

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil

22.0 Urgency

In the normal course of business. However, upload of motions to ALGA's website closes on 27 April 2012.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

At the discretion of Civic Cabinet. The ALGA National General Assembly receives media coverage.

24.0 Options

- 1. To endorse the attached motions for submission to ALGA.
- 2. To not endorse the attached motions

Option 1 is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

E&C FORMAL SUBMISSIONS RESULTS 23 APRIL 2012				
SUBMISSION NUMBER	FILE NUMBERS	DIVISION	TITLE	RECOMMENDATION FOR PUBLIC RELEASE
23/04/-01 M	137/800/1121/477	Brisbane Lifestyle	'Management of dangerous and potentially dangerous Approved dogs in Queensland' discussion paper	Immediate Release

Present: Lord Mayor Graham Quirk, A Schrinner, G Knapp, A Cooper, D McLachlan, P Matic, M de Wit & J Simmonds.

M - Indicates an E&C Committee decision (or minute item), which is included in this document.

R - Indicates an E&C Committee recommendation to full Council. Details can be accessed through the Council Minutes, which are available for inspection on Level 2 of the Brisbane Square Library, 266 George Street, Brisbane.

1.0	Primary file number
. (4)	137/800/1121 <i>/</i> ⁴⁷⁷

Related subject matter files N/A

2.0 Title

'Management of dangerous and potentially dangerous dogs in Queensland' discussion paper

3.0 Issue/purpose

To seek E&C approval to provide written feedback to the Department of Local Government and Planning regarding the "Management of dangerous and potentially dangerous dogs in Queensland" discussion paper

4.0 **Proponent**

Vicki Pethybridge, Divisional Manager, Brisbane Lifestyle Division

5.0 Submission prepared by

Mark Pierce, A/Principal Officer City Safety and Legislation, Business Improvement and Strategy, Compliance and Regulatory Services

6.0 Date

23 April 2012

7.0 For E&C approval or recommendation to Council

E&C approval

If for recommendation to Council, is a Council resolution required under an Act or Local Law? 8.0

No

23 APR 2012

Lord Mayor

9.0 Recommended for public release

Immediate release

10.0 Recommendation

hat E&C approve the attached response to the Department of Local Government and Planning as set

out in Attachment B

Please note to

Divisional Manager

I Support / Reject the recommendation.

If reject, please state reasons.

Ton

Vicki Pethybridge **DIVISIONAL MANAGER BRISBANE LIFESTYLE**

Councillor Geraldine Knapp

CHAIRMAN

BRISBANE LIFESTYLE COMMITTEE

I Recommend Accordingly

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

The Animal Management (Cats and Dogs) Act 2008 (the Act) commenced on 1 July 2009. After two years of operation in Queensland, the Department of Local Government and Planning has commenced a review of the regulated dog provisions of the Act to ensure the laws are operating effectively.

A discussion paper, 'Management of dangerous and potentially dangerous dogs in Queensland' (set out in Attachment C), has been produced covering civil liability and other issues associated with the regulated dog provisions of the Act, with the aim of promoting discussion on the effectiveness and future direction of the management of regulated dogs in Queensland.

Many of the issues addressed in the discussion paper may have an impact upon how Council conducts enforcement action regarding animal management issues. More specifically

- Penalties for dog attack offences;
- Managing potentially dangerous dogs;
- · Appeal rights and timeframes; and
- Destruction powers for dangerous dogs.

As a result, it is necessary for Council to provide feedback to the State Government on these issues to ensure Council has input over any decisions and/or changes that may be made to legislation.

E&C approval is now sought to provide written feedback to the Department of Local Government and Planning regarding the "Management of dangerous and potentially dangerous dogs in Queensland" discussion paper.

13.0 Consultation

- Sean Hodgson, A/Manager, Compliance and Regulatory Services Branch (2 April 2012)
- Linda Gillam, Manager Business Improvement & Strategy, Compliance and Regulatory Services Branch (2 April 2012)
- Beverley Homel, Solicitor, Brisbane City Legal Practice (28 March 2012)

All are in agreement with the recommendation

14.0 Implications of proposal

Nil

15.0 Commercial in confidence

No

16.0 Vision/Corporate Plan impact

This subject aligns with Council's *Living in Brisbane 2026*, *Active, Healthy City* and Council's City Wide Outcome – *Better Public Health* by encouraging and supporting responsible pet ownership.

17.0 Customer impact

Nil

18.0 Environmental impact

Nil

19.0 Policy impact

This could potentially change how Council carries out animal management enforcement action, in particular for serious dog attacks.

20.0 Financial impact

Nil

21.0 Human resource impact

Nil

22.0 Urgency

High. The Queensland Government has approved an extension until 30 April 2012 for Council to provide feedback.

23.0 Publicity/marketing strategy

N/A

24.0 Options

Option 1: Approve the attached response

Option 2: Amend the attached response

Option 3: Refuse the attached response

Option A is the preferred option.

NB: If the officer's recommendation is not followed, then the reasons for departure from that recommendation should be recorded here.

Attachment List:

Attachment A – Submission Summary

Attachment B - Response to the Department of Local Government

Attachment C - 'Management of dangerous and potentially dangerous dogs in Queensland' discussion paper